Previous references.

39/95

File No. ~~233~~ of 1895-96

190

(~~Rev. Miscellaneous~~) R

Later references.

R. Dr. 165 of Dec-01.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–7

PART B FOR

SUBJECT.

Kalsat on Inam lands held by B. Subba Krishna Rao of Mysore, in Byadarhalli and Mavatur in the Gedatore Taluk.

1 ✓ Serial no 1. From B. Subba Krishna Rao, Esq., Mysore.
Petn dd 9th Dec 5.7.95

Prays that the extra assessment on certain Inam lands may be cancelled and that the amount paid by him may be ordered to be refunded to him and that the Amildar may be directed not to demand the extra.

P.T.O.

assessment pending disposal of his petition.

Serial-2. From the same petitioner. No 227 dated 12-7-95.

In continuation of his petn (Serial no 2) states that the Amildar has notified the said lands to be sold on 22-7-96 and prays that orders may be issued to the Dy Comr and the Amildar to stay the sale pending disposal of his petition.

Serial no 3. To the Deputy Comr Mysore Lr No Pet 120 dated 16-7-95. Sl no 1.

Forwards the above for a full report of the facts of the Case.

Serial no 4 From the Sup[erintendent] Survey Office - Letter no 547 dated 14-7-1896

Submits report on the petition of B. Subba Krishna Rao residing in the Fort, Mysore.

S. no 5 To the Superintendent Revenue Survey. Office Mysore.

No Pet 637 dated 7-11-96

Forwards petn no 94 and letter no 547 dated 14-7-96 from DC. Mysore, for favour of report, and invites the Supdts attention to certain discrepancies.

S. no 6 From the Supdt Mysore Rev Survey. Letter no 467 dated 19-1-1897.

Reports on the above petition.

S. no 7. G.O. To the Deputy Comr Mysore &
The Supdt Rev. Survey —

G.O. no. 7103-4 / P. 1268 dated 5-2-97

Observes that the suggestion of the Survey Supdt to revise the settlement in accordance with the Survey mentioned in the Samad is fair and requests him to revise the settlement accordingly and intimate the result to the petitioner.

(3)

His half the
cent & not
half the
Rs

~~At the~~
acres allows 36 acres
as Inam. According to this
Cent per cent of land would
seem to have been allowed
as Inam, instead of the
50 per cent sanctioned
by the Government Pro-
-ceedings of 1891.

Secondly the copy of
the Sunnud produced by
the petitioner gives an Inam
of 100 C Pagodas or 290 &
odd rupees — This seems
to have been accepted at
the Inam settlement — At
the Survey, however the Inam con-
firmed is only Rs 25 /
The records do not explain
this reduction

May the Survey Superintendent
be addressed on both the above
points

Submitted, 30.9.96
24/9/96 B.R.

Continued

(4)

The Surveyor reports that the Inamdar's assertion that the lands in question are within certain extent duly demarcated is incorrect, the lands being all scattered.

With regard to the discrepancy pointed out in this office, the Supt. shows that the first is no discrepancy at all.

The recorded area at the Inam settlement being (in acres) about 19 acres and the area actually found by measurement at the survey being about 54 acres, the excess is 35 acres. Half the excess being allowed under the rules, the calculation in this respect is all right

$19 + \frac{1}{2}(54-35) = 36\frac{1}{2}$

The Supt. admits that the "map book" of 100 papers was not made the basis of calculation, on general grounds and that in this particular instance the allocation maybe

Submitted

Memo in regard to the Inam engaged by the Collector of Dharwar at Byadgi Inamdar Lands

Office-note

Petitioner Mr. Subba Krishna Row states that he was enjoying as Inam the whole land as defined by Vaman Moodray boundary stones & that there was no room for encroachment as it was bounded on all sides by occupied lands.

The copy of the Sanad of the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadayer dated 1824 shews a grant of land worth 100 Kanteerava pagodas ~~wherever~~ delete by petitioners ancestors

At the Inam settlement C K F 8-14-8 of land assessed at Rs 290-14-7 - Equivalent of 100 Pagodas was noted as Inam

At the survey of 1885 the whole land measured 5 acres 39 sq. links was assessed at $1 369 - In pursuance of a Government Proclamation of 1891 all leases above 5 acres per cent had to be charged according with, apparently a 36 sq. links plan. valued at $1 25 only was confirmed as Farm.

The records do not explain the large difference between the recorded extent of the Farm Settlement & the survey measurement. The former gives 8-14-6 (C K S) which according to title given by the Dept. Comm. of Woods & Forests per Candy or about 2 acres, & about 19 acres. The survey while giving an actual measurement of 54 rood

Council Case.
Stamp Duty Rs

The W.R. Commr's order is not enforced, nor is the date fixed for.

Subt to the Rev. Secy

Submitted to the Secretary.

12-7-95

Recorded & Proceedings ordered as much of this petition as relates to the report of the Revenue Board

13.7.95

Drafted
SWR
15/7

P. No. 233 of 1895

(3)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 94

Name of Petitioner. B. Subba Krishnaiah

Village. Son of Bhagavat Subramanya residing in Fort

Taluk. Mysore

District.

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 5-7-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

States that in 1824 certain lands etc in Nyadavalli and Hanvallee of Yedatore Taluk were granted to his ancestors as Inam - that during Survey & Settlement an extra assessment of Rs 110 was charged on these lands - that when the Inam were brought to sale he paid the Amount under protest - that on this he represented to the Govr. who proposed that since the assessment was levied by the order of Govt he had no power to interfere in the matter - Prays that the Extra assessment may be cancelled and that the amount paid by him may be ordered to be refunded to him - and that the Govt may be directed to demand the Extra assessment pending disposal of his

Mayhew made on the Berar
The case seems to come under clause (b)
of rule 10 of Para II of Consular Rules + Cons a
Consul last Feb. to 2nd Consul Mir

Ready Mr. 27/1
27/1/97

Resolved the suggestion
of the secretary Supt
Berar the settlement
in accordance with
the Berar mentioned
in the Summary is
fair & maybe
adopted. The
Supt. may be
requested Berar
the settlement does
& intimate the
result with Secy
Mines

31/1/97

9
1-2-97

draft letter Proceedings

1/2/97

PD Brown
Secy. to Govt
on leave
5/2/97

* Note continued

* Note R. Sa. 165 of 1900-01.

The above order

was conveyed to the Superintendent

Mysore Rev: Survey and to the Dy

Comm^r, Mysore District, in Govt

Proceedings No: T.153-4 dt 5/2/97.

P.1268

The Dy Comm^r reports that, in the

Revision made by the Survey

Supdt as per the Proceedings

quoted above, the Khatas which

was Rs 119/ was reduced to Rs 79/

which reduced amount being

levied every year from 1896-97

and that Subbakrishna Rao prays

for a retrospective effect being

given to the revised settlement

from the year 1895-96 in which

year a Khatas of Rs 119/ was

first levied. If according to the

petitioner's prayer, retrospective

effect is given to the revised settle-

ment, then Rs 79/3 or 20/ will

have to be refunded to him.

Subbakrishna Rao has petitioned

to Govt praying for an early

disposal of his prayer.

In sending the Khatas

from Rs 119 to 79 the Survey

Supdt ~~appears~~ ought to have acted



Pet 4-7-95



Sri Kulli Kantiah

P. of Krishna Shastry Esq: B.A

Revenue Commissioner to the Govt

of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Miscl appl No of 95

Petitioner:- S. Subbakrishna Rao

Son of Bhagavanta Subbaiah aged

residing in Tarl, Mysore.

The petitioner begs to state as follows:—

Please see plans

1. That the wet lands situated in Byadaraiahi and Mavathoor of the Yedatora Taluk whose survey numbers are 6, 2, 12, 53, 52, 57, 58, 59, 62, 64, 69, 139, 138 and 193 were granted to his great grandfather as Inam in the year 1824. A copy of the Sanad is herein enclosed for information.

2. That at the time when the Inam was granted, the lands were demarcated by Pamara Chitra stones fixed at the four corners. The applicants lands were surrounded on all sides by channels and lands under holding. There were no banjar or uncultivated Sirsi lands on any side of the applicant and his predecessors have been enjoying them as Inam since 1824 paying the usual quit rent and local cess amounting in all to Rs 73 nine

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

౧౦౦౬

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

౧౦౦౮౦

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3. That during the Survey Settlement Nos 56, 57 and 63 of Ellavattur village, and 130, 131 and 192 of Byadarahalli village have been assessed and a cess of Rs 113 levied on them even though every one of these numbers is situated within the extent demarcated by stones.

4. That the village authorities brought a portion of these lands for sale last year. The applicant paid the amount under protest and applied to the Amildar to have it as deposit until the disposal by the higher authorities of the dispute about the extra assessment. But he was not pleased to do so. He was neither pleased to furnish the applicant with a copy of the order by which the land was demarcated and given to the Amildar, though several applications were made to that effect.

5 That the petitioner applied to the Deputy Commissioner of Ellysire on the 4th April 1895 asking him to cancel the extra assessment and to remit to the applicant the amount already deposited by him. The Deputy Commissioner ordered that since the assessment was levied by the order of the Court, he had no power to interfere in the matter.

The applicant therefore prays that the extra assessment on his Inam lands may be cancelled and the amount deposited by him last year in addition to

to his usual quit rent and dues has remitted to him. He further prays that till the disposal of this application, the Auditor may be ordered not to demand the extra assessment newly imposed.

Bidhakinshark

ಸಕ್ಕರೆ ಕಾಯಿಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಲವು ವಿಧಗಳಿವೆ.
ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಮುಖವಾದವು.
ಮೊದಲನೆಯದು ಇನ್ಸುಲಿನ್ ಅವಲಂಬಿತ
ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಇನ್ಸುಲಿನ್ ಅವಲಂಬಿತವಲ್ಲದ
ಸಕ್ಕರೆ ಕಾಯಿಲೆ.

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 227

Name of Petitioner. Subbiah Kandiah Pillai

Village. Kadiriyan West Division - Sivan Temple-in-the

Taluk. Tal- Jaffna

District.

Date of Arzi. 12-7-95

Date of Receipt. 15-7-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

In continuation of petition of 27-6-95 praying for the appointment of a Commission to enquire into the circumstances under which Kandiah Pillai, petitioner's father died, and that the Commissioner may be directed to hold the inquest at Kadiriyan West Division - Sivan Temple-in-the-East, Vadamarachchi, Jaffna District, and that the Coroner may be directed to hold the inquest at the same place with the assistance of the Assistant Government Agent, Vadamarachchi, and that the Coroner may be directed to hold the said inquiry in the usual manner.

15/7

Submitted to the Hon. Colonial Secretary

16/7

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2276
DATE

Pet 120 K.R. No 233 of 1895-96 10-7-95
Serial no 3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT. (5)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 9 dated ~~dated~~ received at the Dewan's Office from B. Seeva Krishna Rao Esq, Mysore, Complainant Petn of the Assessment levied Charged on certain Inam Lands in Bogadhalli & Ramathalli, Yedatore Taluk

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Draft

BANGALORE, 16-7-1895

~~Chief~~ Secretary. 16/7 Rec.

16/7

15/7

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

(18)

and the survey valuation thereof viz Rupees 118, was levied as Khalsat, or assessment on the numbers included therein. In other words out of the total extent of 54 acres and 39 Guntas of the inam lands, valued at Rs 369 at the Survey, an extent of only 36 acres and 20 Guntas valued at Rs 251 was treated as inam; the remainder viz: 18 acres and 19 Guntas being classed as Govern= ment land bearing the difference, viz Rs 118, as assessment thereon. This amount together with the quit rent and cesses, has been levied from the inamdars by the Amildar from 1893-94. The petitioner complains of this extra assessment of Rs 118 imposed as per revised quit rent register of the Gedalore

taluk

13

(19)

taluk issued by the Inam Superintendent, on the ground that the lands were granted as inam to his ancestors by His Highness the late Maharajah Krishna Raja Wodeyar after due measurement and demarcation thereof by Kanara mudra or symbolical stones. The Amildar reports that the inam lands are surrounded on all sides by occupied lands and that they adjoin no waste land. He further states that though the demarcation stones referred to above, still exist in some of the inam lands, they do not serve as guides to shew the boundaries of all the lands. In the sanad dated the 21st of August 1824, a copy of which is filed by the petitioner with his petition to Govt, the grant of wet lands having a beriz of 100 Canteroy pagodas i.e. Rs 290-14-7 is

authorized

No. 547
Enclosures No. 7

Serial no 4. 16

Dy. no. 2083
Rd. 176

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 16 September 1895.

LR 39-95
IC 4

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No. Rl/120 dated the 6th July 1895, forwarding for report the petition of B. Subba Krishna Rao residing in the Taluk of Mysore, complaining of the extra assessment charged on his inam lands in Byadarahalli and Inavattur in the Gedarige taluk, beg to state as follows:—

2. The petitioner and 4 others, named Krishnappah, Nanjaiah, Narasimhaiah and Channiah are the khatedars of certain wet inam lands whose recorded extent is 8 Candies, 4 Kilogas and 8 manes, and whose assessment or beriz is Rupees 290-4-7. At the inam settlement of the lands a quit-rent of Rs. 26 was imposed

upon

upon them. During the survey settlement of the taluk in 1885, the lands were formed into 14 numbers, measuring altogether 54 acres and 89 cuntas, and were assessed at Rs 309. The former quit rent together with the local and irrigation cesses at one anna per rupee of the survey valuation, was being recovered from the inamdars till the close of the year 1892-93 (Nandana).

3. In Government Notification No. 25 dated the 10th June 1891, all excesses above 50 per cent in minor inams were directed to be taxed. In pursuance of the order, revised quit rent registers were received from the Inam Superintendent in 1893-94. In the register relating to the case in question, an extent of 18 acres and 19 cuntas of the inam was treated as excess,

and

Diary No. 4764
Pet. 441 R. No. 233 of 1895-96 16
Serial No. 6

No 467

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 19 January 1897

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to report as follows upon the subject of Official Memorandum, Dewan's Office, No. Pet dated 637 7th November 1896.

2 The Petitioner Subba-Krishnaraa complains of the levy of Khalsat on certain Inam

17

(23)

Inam lands held by him at Mavatur and Byadarahalli Hunitas (Yedatore village) in the Yedatore village and bases his complaint chiefly on the assertion that the Inam lands in question were first granted they were demarcated by Waman Murdeshwar stones and that they are all situated within the extent so demarcated. The lands now claimed as Inam are all scattered. The Petitioners assertion

that

authorized, with a direction that the lands selected in the grants should be demarcated with Yamana Mudra stones, but no mention is made therein of any particular extent of land as comprised in the assigned area. The large difference in the extent of these inam lands between the old and survey measurements is evidently due to the calculation of the former at the recognised rate of 10000 Square yards or 2 acres, 2 Guntas and 28 Square yards to each Khandy of the former measure.

4. The petition, received with the memorandum under reply and the Vernacular record of the case are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obed.t Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

R. Br 233 of 95-96. Dr 28
Serial no 5 12-11-96
Pet 637. 87.11.96 (7) 15

Offe Memorandum

I A 39-5
SC 5

* & the delays if claimed

The accompanying Petition Regd the 24 received at the Revenue office on 5th Dec from B. Patra Petitioner for Injunction complaining of the way & threat in certain mauzi Lands situated in Pargana Jaipur Sadarsahi in the Jaipur Tahsil & praying for certain orders vide letter No 54 dated 14 Sept 96 from the Collector Jaipur &c and the records called for received thereto & the Superintendent Revenue Survey & Jaipur Tahsildar report.

The allegation of the Petitioner is briefly & the following circumstances appear that a certain Pat mentioned & claimed and the petitioner, land entered at Rs 25/, were confirmed on (c) Pat & the usual Pattas & Kabuliyats were issued & circulation & extent of Jaipur allowed vide Bd 30-8-8 & same Pargana Mr Sapient

By order

Reader

Copy Petition
Informations
allowed
10/11

(25) regard to all interests. I think that in the present instance as presenting unusual circumstances the allocation may be permitted on the Berij instead of on the Bijwari. Enclosures are returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Supt Allyar Revenue Survey

that all the lands are within a certain extent duly demarcated that is misleading and incorrect. Each piece of land may have been separately demarcated at the time of grant but even this cannot now be proved, the Amildar stating that some of the demarcation exists but not sufficiently to show the boundaries of all the lands (see Para 3 of Dy: Comm's letter No. 547 of 14th September 1896).

3. The Alaji Bijapur

11 Kudgee Mauza
of 3. 14. 5 was taken as the
basis of calculation and on this
extent of Inam confirmed viz.
36. 20 a g is correct the equivalent
of the Bijwari + half the areas
giving just that area.

4 If calculated on the
"Ollaji beriz" of 100 Pagodas
the land to be confirmed as
Inam would of course bear
assessment of Rs 290. 14. 7 but
this was not done, the Bijwari
being considered the fairer
mode of calculations having
regard

~~1235 of 95-96~~ 21

Serial no 7

Proceedings of the Court of

Ellpore

(9)

Read

1239-95

SL 7

Petition No. 94 dated 5.7.95 from B. Subba Krishna Rao of Ellpore complaining of the levy of ~~chalaat~~ disproportionate chalaat on certain Inam lands held by him at Maralluru and Byadarahalli in the Yedatore Taluk

2. Letter No. 547 dated 14th September 96, from the Dy. Coll. Ellpore ~~of~~ reporting on the facts of the case

3. Letter No. 467 dated 19th January 1897 from the Supdt., Rev. Survey in Ellpore, reporting on the above and stating that, in the present instance as presenting unusual circumstances, the allocation may be permitted on the Basis instead of on the Bijwari

order thereon / P.

No. 7103-4 / P.1268 / 5.2.97 / 2nd

Court observe that

That the suggestion of the Survey Supt to revise the settlement in accordance with the Basis mentioned in the Samad is fair and may be adopted. The Supt is requested to revise the settlement accordingly and intimate the result to petitioner.

C/2 Draft

for declaration

To.

The Dy. Comr Kheyrpur

with the record papers

The Supt of Rev Survey